



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 10 LABORATORY
7411 Beach Dr. East
Port Orchard, Washington 98366

**QUALITY ASSURANCE MEMORANDUM
FOR ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES**

Date: November 2, 2010

To: Brandon Perkins, SAM
Office of Environmental Cleanup, USEPA Region 10

From: Gerald Dodo, Chemist
Office of Environmental Assessment, USEPA Region 10 Laboratory

Subject: Quality Assurance Review for the Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - Gasoline Range Analysis of Samples from the South Tacoma Channel Seep Project

Project Code: TEC-985A
Account Code: 10T10P302DD2C10ZZLA00

CC: Renee Nordeen
Ecology and Environment, Inc.

The following is a quality assurance review of the data for total petroleum hydrocarbon - gasoline range (TPH-Gx) analysis of water samples from the above referenced site. The analyses were performed by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory ESAT contractor using Washington State Department of Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx (GC/MS).

This review was conducted for the following samples:

10354000 10354001 10354002 10354003

1. Data Qualifications

Comments below refer to the quality control specifications outlined in the Laboratory's current Quality Assurance Manual, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). No excursions were required from the method Standard Operating Procedure.

The quality control measures which did not meet Laboratory/QAPP criteria are annotated in the title of each affected subsection with "*Laboratory/QAPP Criteria Not Met*".

The Region 10 Laboratory's Quality System has been accredited to the standards of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC).

2. Sample Transport and Receipt

Upon sample receipt, no conditions were noted that would impact data quality.

3. Sample Holding Times

The concentration of an analyte in a sample or extract of a sample may increase or decrease over time depending on the nature of the analyte. The holding time maximum criteria applied for the analysis of preserved water samples is 14 days from the time of collection. All samples were analyzed within this criterion.

4. Sample Preparation

Samples were prepared according to the method.

5. Initial Calibration/Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

An initial calibration was performed on 09/08/10 for TPH-Gx (unleaded gasoline composite) and the surrogate, 1,4-difluorobenzene. Percent relative standard deviations (%RSDs) of the RRFs met the criteria of $\leq 20\%$.

The CCVs met the criteria for frequency of analysis and the percent accuracies of 80-120% of the true value for TPH-Gx.

6. Blank Analysis

Method blanks were analyzed with each analytical sequence to evaluate the potential for laboratory contamination and effects on the sample results. TPH-Gx was not detected in the blanks.

7. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogate recoveries are used to help in the evaluation of laboratory performance on individual samples. All surrogate recoveries for the samples were within the criteria of 50-150%.

8. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)

Data for LCS and LCSD are generated to provide information on the accuracy and precision of the analytical method and the laboratory performance. The LCS/LCSD recoveries were within the criteria of 60-140% with a relative percent difference (RPD) of ≤ 20 .

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Analysis (MS/MSD)

MS/MSD analyses are performed to provide information on the effects of sample matrices toward the analytical method. An MS/MSD analysis was performed using sample 10354001 (S1/S2). The recoveries of TPH-Gx met the criteria of 60-140% with an RPD of ≤ 20 .

10. Compound Quantitation

The initial calibration functions were used for calculations. Reported quantitation limits were based on the initial calibration standards and sample size used for the analysis.

All manual integrations have been reviewed and found to comply with acceptable integration practices.

11. Identification

TPH-Gx range organics were not detected in any of the samples.

12. Data Qualifiers

All requirements for data qualifiers from the preceding sections were accumulated. Each sample data

summary sheet and each compound was checked for positive or negative results. From this, the overall need for data qualifiers for each analysis was determined. In cases where more than one of the preceding sections required data qualifiers, the most restrictive qualifier has been added to the data.

The usefulness of qualified data should be treated according to the severity of the qualifier in light of the project's data quality objectives. Should questions arise regarding the data, contact Gerald Dodo at the Region 10 Laboratory, phone number (360) 871 - 8728.

Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was not detected at or above the reported value.
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
UJ	The analyte was not detected at or above the reported value. The reported value is an estimate.
R	The presence or absence of the analyte can not be determined from the data due to severe quality control problems. The data are rejected and considered unusable. <u>No value is reported with this qualification.</u>
NA	Not Applicable, the parameter was not analyzed for, or there is no analytical result for this parameter. <u>No value is reported with this qualification.</u>